

Hall of Innovation

Entries

Big Picture Poster (Joann Horton)

PE Academy = Research Program Focused on Learning to Learn (Steve Beyerlein)

Learning to Learn (Wade Ellis)

Self-Growth (Chaya Jain)

Cultural Analysis of the Transformation of Education (Ayana Conway)

Ethnology of Recovery Course Building Collegiate Learners (Rik Stevenson)

GVSU Recovery Course (Sherril Soman)

Becoming a Quality Learning to Learn Facilitator (Joyce Adams)

Best Practices (Bill Ellis)

Profile of Collegiate Learner (Dan Apple)

Concept Map of Learning to Learn (Masila Mutisya)

RATE THE SESSION

usefulness of preparation materials (e.g., readings).....6

quality of session materials (e.g., handouts).....6

quality of presentation/facilitation.....6

your level of interest in the content covered.....6

degree to which your expectations were met.....6

degree to which you would like to learn more about this topic.....7

Strengths (including why)

Provided opportunity for people who did not have the opportunity to present their ideas as a building step to develop their research for future

Areas for Improvement (including how)

The presenters should have been given a clear criteria and specific guidelines on Poster presentation to make the posters presentable

Insight (including significance)

It was interesting to see how many people were able to present poster session

RATE THE SESSION

| | |
|--|---|
| usefulness of preparation materials (e.g., readings)..... | 8 |
| quality of session materials (e.g., handouts)..... | 7 |
| quality of presentation/facilitation..... | 8 |
| your level of interest in the content covered..... | 8 |
| degree to which your expectations were met..... | 7 |
| degree to which you would like to learn more about this topic..... | 8 |

Strengths (including why)

Great variety of posters and individuals.

RATE THE SESSION

| | |
|--|---|
| usefulness of preparation materials (e.g., readings)..... | 9 |
| quality of session materials (e.g., handouts)..... | 9 |
| quality of presentation/facilitation..... | 9 |
| your level of interest in the content covered..... | 9 |
| degree to which your expectations were met..... | 8 |
| degree to which you would like to learn more about this topic..... | 9 |

RATE THE SESSION

| | |
|---|---|
| usefulness of preparation materials (e.g., readings)..... | 6 |
| quality of session materials (e.g., handouts)..... | 8 |
| quality of presentation/facilitation..... | 8 |
| your level of interest in the content covered..... | 8 |
| degree to which your expectations were met..... | 5 |
| degree to which you would like to learn more about this topic | 7 |

Strengths (including why)

Great content to share, from a variety of presenters about a variety of topics. Having variety engages a broad constituent audience.

Areas for Improvement (including how)

The food needed to be in the same room so that people would congregate around the posters rather than purely socializing. Further, With food next door, some poster presenters were not at the posters while getting food. This is can be taken care of in pre-conference planning. A display with the poster titles and numbers prominently displayed near the entrance would help people prioritize where they want to visit without having to look in their binders. This could be a final task for the HOI chair to complete.

Insight (including significance)

People can be shy about jumping in and visiting random posters - they like a preview.