Your feedback is anonymous; no identifying information is collected or saved.

Conference Session (in alphabetical order; scroll to find the session for which you took notes)

Plenary: What Did We Learn?

Feedback about the session

RATE THE SESSION

Usefulness of preparation materials (e.g., readings)

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ○ 4
- ◉ 5
- ○ 6
- ○ 7
- ○ 8
- ○ 9
- ○ 10

Quality of session materials (e.g., handouts)

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ○ 4
- ◉ 5
- ○ 6
- ○ 7
- ○ 8
- ○ 9
- ○ 10

Quality of presentation/facilitation

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ○ 4
- ○ 5
- ○ 6
- ○ 7
- ○ 8
- ◉ 9
- ○ 10

Your level of interest in the content covered

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ○ 4
- ○ 5

- ○ 6
- ○ 7
- ○ 8
- ○ 9
- ◉ 10

Degree to which your expectations were met

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ○ 4
- ○ 5
- ○ 6
- ○ 7
- ◉ 8
- ○ 9
- ○ 10

Degree to which you would like to learn more about this topic or theme

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ○ 4
- ○ 5
- ○ 6
- ○ 7
- ○ 8
- ○ 9
- ◉ 10

Assess the session using the SII Method of Assessment

Strengths (including why)

AREAS FOR FEEDBACK - learning outcomes, growth outcomes, conference attributes

Areas for Improvement (including how)

FACILITATION PLAN - publicize this and explicitly link with conference warm-up activities (i.e. setting personal goals)

Insight (including significance)

The next generation of the conference seems like it might be a lot more like the Advanced Teaching Institutes of old (enriched by peer coaching and real-time assessment).

Last Update

2016-06-26 00:12:44

Start Time

2016-06-26 00:06:49

Finish Time

2016-06-26 00:12:44